

**From:** [Thompson, John R.](#)  
**To:** [lwvfresno.redistricting@gmail.com](mailto:lwvfresno.redistricting@gmail.com)  
**Cc:** [Fresno County 2021 Redistricting](#)  
**Bcc:** [Eropkin, Rebekah](#); [Nerland, Paul](#)  
**Subject:** FW: FW: Information Requests  
**Date:** Thursday, September 23, 2021 8:31:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[BAI September 20 - 2011.pdf](#)  
[Current Supervisorial District Data - NON-State Adjusted Numbers.pdf](#)

---

Jacquie,

Since we have not received the “final” state-adjusted Census data, we do not have a combined chart showing expressly the information that you are requesting, however the September 20, 2011 Board Agenda Item #12 (attached) has a chart summarizing the population/ethnicity data for 2010 by district and we just received a PDF chart showing the 2020 non-state adjusted data by district.

Once we receive the “final” state-adjusted Census data, we may create a summarized chart like Tulare County did and if we do, I will email that to you. I also wanted to let you know that we have reconsidered the LWV’s suggestion to provide remote access for public comment and will implement that suggestion at the upcoming Commission Hearings on October 5<sup>th</sup> and October 21<sup>st</sup>.

Thank you again for your participation in this process Jacquie.

Sincerely,



**John R. Thompson** | **Assistant Director**  
**Department of Public Works and Planning | Administration**  
2220 Tulare St. 8th Floor Fresno, CA 93721  
Main Office: (559) 600-4500 Direct: (559) 600-7890  
[Your input matters! Customer Service Survey](#)

---

**From:** lwvfresno redistricting <>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 2:27 PM  
**To:** Thompson, John R. <[jthompson@fresnocountyca.gov](mailto:jthompson@fresnocountyca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Information Requests

John,

The LWV Fresno Redistricting Committee met this afternoon. While we appreciate the information the tract information is not user friendly. We respectfully requested charts that would summarize the population/ethnicity data for 2010/and 2020 by the existing county districts. We assume Fresno County has a conversion map of tract number to county district. Charts summarized at this level would be much easier to see the changes in the county (just like Tulare County). Please let me know when this information can be available.

Thank you

Jacquie  
559-352-9731

-

On Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 10:56 AM Thompson, John R. <[jothompson@fresnocountyca.gov](mailto:jothompson@fresnocountyca.gov)> wrote:

Thank you for the email Jacquie. Please see our responses below in "Red" and let me know if you need additional clarification on any of the responses.

Sincerely,



**John R. Thompson** | Assistant Director

**Department of Public Works and Planning | Administration**

2220 Tulare St. 8th Floor Fresno, CA 93721

Main Office: (559) 600-4500 Direct: (559) 600-7890

[Your input matters! Customer Service Survey](#)

---

**From:** lwvfresno redistricting <[lwvfresno.redistricting@gmail.com](mailto:lwvfresno.redistricting@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 07, 2021 10:51 AM

**To:** Thompson, John R. <[jothompson@fresnocountyca.gov](mailto:jothompson@fresnocountyca.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: Information Requests

CAUTION!!! - EXTERNAL EMAIL - THINK BEFORE YOU CLICK

John, Just realized that I did not include the powerpoint mentioned in the original email. See attachment. Thanks Jacquie

On Mon, Sep 6, 2021 at 5:49 PM lwvfresno redistricting <[lwvfresno.redistricting@gmail.com](mailto:lwvfresno.redistricting@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear John,

The League of Women Voters of Fresno County have the following four requests for information:

1. Please provide copies of the Fresno County Board of Supervisor District maps that show the official boundary lines in existence in 1990, 2000, and 2010, *prior* to the redistricting of the Supervisor District boundaries in 1991, 2001 and 2011.

*Response: Attached are three PDFs of maps that we were able to pull off the County archives. We have previously attempted to locate a readable 1981- 1991 map but the scanned image is not clear. If you would still like to see those pages, we can pull them from our scanned record file #5283.*

2. Several League members watched the August 26 Tulare County Advisory Commission on Supervisorial Redistricting. The Informational Presentation regarding 2011 and 2021 Redistricting Data was very informative - see attached PowerPoint, pages 6 through 13. When will Fresno County make this Redistricting data available for Fresno County residents on the Redistricting website?

*Response: Thank you for the PowerPoint. We have combined the response for Q2 and Q3 below.*

3. Also, can we expect a presentation regarding 2011 and 2021 Redistricting data on the Agenda for the October 5 Advisory Commission hearing?

*Response: We discussed Q2 and Q3 with our demographer this morning and he*

*indicated that if we have the final “state-adjusted” census data available in time to create the slides, he will add this material to his presentation on October 5. That presentation will be uploaded to the Redistricting website, so the public and Commission will have access to it. Our consultant also provided the following link and suggested that we add the link to our webpage. <https://data.thecalifornian.com/census/total-population/total-population-change/fresno-county-california/050-06019/> The link provides population change in Fresno County from 2010 to 2020 and we have added the it to the pull down menu under “Redistricting Resources”. The Redistricting website is at: <https://www.co.fresno.ca.us/resources/redistricting>*

4. Finally, given the recent local surge in COVID-19 positivity rates, cases and hospitalization, there is added urgency for residents to have the option to actively participate remotely, not just observe the proceedings. Please confirm that interested individuals will be able to provide comments via Zoom at the in-person October 5, 2021 Advisory Commission meeting.

*Response: At this time, the Advisory Commission hearings are scheduled to be conducted in the same manner as the Board of Supervisors hearings, except that the Commission hearings are streamed on Zoom and we offer both an English and Spanish channel. If a Public Health or Executive Order is released that limits or restricts in-person meetings, we will make any necessary accommodations to all future Advisory Commission hearings, which may include conducting the hearings fully virtual, instead of in-person. Please note that at this time, remote attendees may still submit comments for the Commission by 5:00 pm the day before a hearing via email, phone, or in-person, as indicated on the hearing flyers.*

We ask that this information also be made available to the commission and the public. Please let us know if you have any questions on the request and anticipated completion date.

*Response: We will upload this email string as a public comment for the October 5<sup>th</sup> Advisory Commission hearing, so that the public and commissioners have access to it.*

Jacque Canfield  
Sent on behalf of the LWV Fresno Redistricting Committee

**Non-state adjusted Census Data**

***Fresno County - Existing Districts with 2020 Federal Census Estimates***

Category	Field	1	2	3	4	5	Total
2020 Census	Total Population	205,146	198,509	187,158	188,472	229,369	1,008,654
	Population Deviation	3,415	-3,222	-14,573	-13,259	27,638	42,211
	Pct. Deviation	1.69%	-1.60%	-7.22%	-6.57%	13.70%	20.92%
Total Pop (OMB Allocation Method)	Hispanic/Latino	63%	34%	66%	77%	34%	54%
	NH White	16%	45%	13%	17%	41%	27%
	NH Black	8%	5%	6%	1%	4%	5%
	NH Asian/Pac.Isl.	12%	12%	12%	4%	18%	12%
	NH Native Amer.	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Total Pop ("Any Part" Allocation Method)	Hispanic/Latino	63%	34%	66%	77%	34%	54%
	NH White	16%	45%	13%	17%	41%	27%
	Black	9%	7%	8%	2%	5%	6%
	Asian/Pac.Isl.	13%	14%	14%	5%	19%	13%
	Native Amer.	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	104,618	142,234	100,860	93,816	142,496	584,023
	Hisp	51%	27%	55%	64%	28%	42%
	NH White	26%	57%	23%	29%	53%	40%
	NH Black	11%	5%	8%	1%	4%	6%
	Asian/Pac.Isl.	10%	10%	12%	5%	14%	10%
	Native Amer.	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Age	age0-19	34%	27%	33%	33%	30%	31%
	age20-60	52%	51%	53%	50%	51%	52%
	age60plus	14%	22%	14%	16%	18%	17%
Immigration	immigrants	27%	14%	24%	28%	15%	21%
	naturalized	33%	58%	37%	29%	62%	41%
Language spoken at home	english	45%	74%	43%	40%	71%	55%
	spanish	44%	15%	46%	56%	16%	35%
	asian-lang	5%	6%	9%	1%	9%	6%
	other lang	6%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. Less than 5 years	27%	8%	24%	27%	9%	19%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	47%	43%	46%	45%	49%	46%
	bachelor	9%	23%	8%	9%	19%	14%
	graduatedegree	3%	14%	3%	4%	9%	7%
Child in Household	child-under18	47%	31%	42%	47%	38%	40%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	62%	63%	58%	60%	63%	61%
Household Income	income 0-25k	26%	17%	39%	26%	16%	24%
	income 25-50k	26%	18%	26%	27%	19%	23%
	income 50-75k	19%	18%	16%	17%	17%	17%
	income 75-200k	26%	39%	17%	27%	41%	31%
	income 200k-plus	2%	9%	1%	3%	7%	5%
Housing Stats	single family	74%	70%	61%	84%	75%	72%
	multi-family	24%	28%	40%	19%	17%	25%
	rented	51%	43%	65%	46%	33%	47%
	owned	49%	57%	35%	54%	67%	53%

Total population data from the 2020 Census. Citizen Voting Age Population, Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.



# Agenda Item 12

DATE: September 20, 2011  
 TO: Board of Supervisors  
 FROM: Victor E. Salazar, County Clerk/Registrar of Voters *Victor E. Salazar*  
 SUBJECT: Second Reading of Amended Ordinance on Proposed New Supervisorial District Boundaries

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

**Conduct second reading of the ordinance to amend Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Fresno County Code to establish new Supervisorial District boundaries, and waive the reading of the ordinance in its entirety. (4/5 vote)**

On September 13, 2011, the Board conducted the first reading of the ordinance to amend Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Fresno County Code to establish new supervisorial district boundaries which were adopted at the public hearing conducted on August 30, 2011. This agenda item represents the second reading of the proposed ordinance.

ALTERNATIVE ACTION(s):

If the Board does not timely adopt the redistricting ordinance, the redistricting process will fall to the supervisorial redistricting commission prescribed by Elections Code sections 21501 and 21502.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Notice and publication costs will be incurred as part of the adoption of an ordinance required to change district boundaries. To date, the Public Works Department and the County Clerk have absorbed costs associated with the redistricting process.

IMPACTS ON JOB CREATION:

The recommended action does not impact job creation.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE REVIEW

BOARD ACTION: DATE

*Sandra Dunbar*  
September 20, 2011

APPROVED AS RECOMMENDED

Page 1 of 3  
OTHER



Official Action of Board of Supervisors

31  
July

UNANIMOUS  ANDERSON \_\_\_\_\_ CASE \_\_\_\_\_ LARSON \_\_\_\_\_ PEREA \_\_\_\_\_ POCHIGIAN \_\_\_\_\_

DISCUSSION:

In Fresno County, there are five County Supervisors, and each supervisor represents a distinct geographic area called a district. County Supervisors reside in and are elected by the voters of the district they represent. The County Board of Supervisors is required to reconfigure their district boundaries every ten years so that they contain an approximately equal number of people in each district. After each federal census and using population figures provided by the U.S. Census Bureau, the Board by a 4/5 vote must adjust the boundaries of the Supervisorial Districts of the County so that each district is as equal as possible in population.

On November 10, 2009, the Board initiated a County Redistricting Committee consisting of representatives from the County Clerk's office, County Administrative Office, Information Technology Service and Public Works Departments. On April 12, 2011, the Board established the Fresno County Redistricting Task Force consisting of appointed representatives from each district. The Board ultimately considered eight options developed by these two groups and developed an additional four options.

On July 19, 2011, the Board received a report from staff that presented an overview of the supervisorial redistricting process for the County of Fresno. The Board also received a report from the Redistricting Task Force. Additionally, the Board established a schedule for the redistricting process and set August 30, 2011, as the date to adopt a final option and September 13 and 20, 2011, for the first and second readings of the proposed amended ordinance required to establish new supervisorial district boundaries.

On August 9, 2011, the Board continued discussions on redistricting and considered various options presented to the Board. The Board also convened a special meeting on August 23, 2011, to continue the discussion on redistricting before the public hearing on August 30, 2011. On August 30, 2011, the Board adopted Option D as the preferred redistricting plan shown in Attachment A.

On September 13, 2001, the Board conducted the first reading of the proposed ordinance to incorporate district boundary changes. The ordinance amending Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Ordinance Code of the County of Fresno establishes the new supervisorial district boundary plan and is contained in attachment B.

Following the 2001 redistricting effort, the total deviation was 2%. The population figures provided by the U.S. Department of Commerce's Census Bureau demonstrate that the total deviation is now 25.54%. The redistricting plan adopted by the Board on August 30, 2011, contains a total deviation of 1.15%. A district comparison by population and race/ethnicity is displayed in the tables of Attachment B.

This redistricting effort is on schedule and provides sufficient time for the ordinance to become effective by the November 1, 2011, deadline.

Public Notification Process

Throughout the redistricting process, the County Internet site, press releases and required public notices were used to notify the public of the process to adjust supervisorial district boundaries. A link to redistricting information was set up on the Fresno County Internet site's home page. The schedule to adjust supervisorial district boundaries, copies of agenda items, news releases and alternative plan maps have also been posted.

REFERENCE MATERIAL:

BAI #3 – 11/10/09

BAI Addendum A – 4/12/11

BAI # 4 – 7/19/11

BAI # 8 – 8/9/11

BAI #1 – 8/23/11



BAI # 4 – 8/30/11

BAI # 5 – 9/13/11



# Fresno County Board of Supervisors 2011 Redistricting

Adopted Plan - August 30, 2011



District	Total	Deviation	Dev %	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander
District 1	186,669	579 Over	0.31%	110,900	38,063	16,019	1,038	16,941	189
District 2	187,313	1,223 Over	0.66%	51,948	101,850	9,000	1,030	17,897	282
District 3	185,536	554 Under	-0.30%	116,409	31,189	11,305	1,287	22,246	178
District 4	185,758	332 Under	-0.18%	134,965	38,496	2,684	777	6,674	99
District 5	185,174	916 Under	-0.49%	53,857	94,924	5,997	1,847	23,098	318
Total	930,450		1.15%	468,070	304,522	45,005	5,979	86,856	1,086











# Fresno County Board of Supervisors 2011 Redistricting

Adopted Plan - August 30, 2011



## Supervisory District Boundaries

-  District 1
-  District 2
-  District 3
-  District 4
-  District 5



## **ATTACHMENT B**

1                                   BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
2                                   COUNTY OF FRESNO  
3                                   STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
                                  ORDINANCE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

4 AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY  
5 ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND  
6 NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF  
7 THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.

8           WHEREAS, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors has, at public hearings,  
9 considered both oral and documentary evidence both including the Supervisorial District  
10 Population Study and supporting documentation, provided by County Staff, by the efforts  
11 of a Redistricting Task Force, and by the testimony of interested persons who expressed  
12 their views and had the opportunity to submit documentary evidence prior to the adoption  
13 of this ordinance; and

14           WHEREAS, in considering the foregoing oral and documentary evidence, the  
15 Board of Supervisors has taken into account the voting rights protected under the United  
16 States Constitution and Section 1973 of the United States Code, as amended, more  
17 commonly referred to as the "Voting Rights Act", and has given consideration to the  
18 following factors: (a) topography; (b) geography; (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity,  
19 and compactness of territory; and (d) community of interests of the supervisorial districts;  
20 and

21           WHEREAS, the United States Secretary of Commerce has officially ruled that the  
22 2010 Census of Population and Housing provided to the County of Fresno by the United  
23 States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, which forms the basis of the  
24 Supervisorial District Population Study and supporting documentation submitted by  
25 County Staff, shall be final; and

26  
27  
28

1 WHEREAS, based on the foregoing circumstances, the Fresno County Board of  
2 Supervisors, in consideration of all of the oral and documentary evidence presented,  
3 finds that:

4 (a) The supervisorial districts are currently unequal in population and  
5 must be adjusted to meet the requirements established by the United  
6 States Constitution, statutory law, and judicial interpretations thereof;  
7 and

8 (b) The district boundaries must be adjusted to ensure they do not  
9 fragment or dilute the voting strength of persons protected under the  
10 United States Constitution or the Voting Rights Act, or impair their  
11 ability to gain representation on the Fresno County Board of  
12 Supervisors; and

13 WHEREAS, the passage of this ordinance shall be considered an amendment of  
14 Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Ordinance Code of the County of Fresno.

15 The Board of Supervisors of the County of Fresno ordains as follows:

16 Section 1: Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Ordinance Code of the County of Fresno  
17 is hereby amended, to read in its entirety as follows:

18 Chapter 2.72.

19 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS

20 Sections:

- 21 2.72.010 Establishment.  
22 2.72.020 Supervisorial District 1.  
23 2.72.030 Supervisorial District 2.  
24 2.72.040 Supervisorial District 3.  
25 2.72.050 Supervisorial District 4.  
26 2.72.060 Supervisorial District 5.  
27 2.72.070 Interpretation of boundary descriptions.

1           2.72.010 Establishment. Pursuant to Chapter 6 of Division 21 of the California  
2 Elections Code and the Charter of the County of Fresno, the boundaries and numerical  
3 designations of the supervisorial districts for the County of Fresno are established as set  
4 forth in this chapter.

5           2.72.020 Supervisorial District 1.

6           There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be known  
7 and designated as Supervisorial District 1, the boundaries of which are described as  
8 follows:

9           Beginning at the intersection of the Fresno-Madera County line and the main line  
10 of the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad; thence southeasterly along said railroad  
11 to the intersection thereof with West Avenue; thence south on West Avenue to the  
12 intersection of Shields Avenue; thence west along said Shields Ave to Weber Avenue;  
13 thence northwesterly on Weber Avenue to the intersection of Marks Avenue; thence  
14 southerly on Marks Avenue extended to Freeway 99; thence easterly along State Route  
15 99 to State Route 41; thence southerly on State Route 41 to American Avenue; thence  
16 east on American Avenue to Chestnut Avenue; thence south on Chestnut Avenue to the  
17 intersection of South Avenue; thence west on South Avenue to the intersection of  
18 McMullin Grade; thence southwesterly on the McMullin Grade to its intersection with the  
19 Fresno Slough Bypass; thence southeasterly along the Fresno Slough Bypass to its  
20 intersection with Elkhorn Grade; thence southwesterly on Elkhorn Grade to Cerini  
21 Avenue; thence west on Cerini Avenue to Howard Avenue; thence south on Howard  
22 Avenue to Mt. Whitney Avenue; thence west on Mt. Whitney Avenue to Stanislaus  
23 Avenue; thence north on Stanislaus Avenue to Harlan Avenue; thence west on Harlan  
24 Avenue to Derrick Avenue; thence south approximately 3 miles along Derrick Avenue  
25 and its extension to the Township line between Township 17 and 18 South; thence west  
26 along said Township line to the Fresno-San Benito County line; thence northerly and  
27 easterly along the Fresno County boundary line to its intersection with the main Line of  
28 the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad, the point of beginning.

1           2.72.030 Supervisorial District 2.

2           There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be known  
3 and designated as Supervisorial District 2, the boundaries of which are described as  
4 follows:

5           Beginning at the intersection of Fresno-Madera County line and the main line of  
6 the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad; thence southeasterly along said railroad to  
7 West Avenue; thence south on West Avenue to Shields Avenue; thence east on Shields  
8 Avenue to First Street; thence north on First Street to Shaw Avenue; thence east on  
9 Shaw Avenue to State Route 168; thence northeasterly on State Route 168 to Herndon  
10 Avenue; thence west on Herndon Avenue to Villa Avenue; thence northerly along Villa  
11 Avenue to Minnewawa; thence north along Minnewawa Avenue to Behymer Avenue;  
12 thence west on Behymer Avenue to Willow Avenue; thence northerly on Willow Avenue  
13 to Friant Road; thence northerly on Friant Road to its intersection with Little Dry Creek;  
14 thence westerly along Little Dry Creek to the San Joaquin River, being the Fresno-  
15 Madera County line; thence westerly along said county line to the main line of the  
16 Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad, to the point of beginning.

17           2.72.040 Supervisorial District 3.

18           There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be known  
19 and designated as Supervisorial District 3, the boundaries of which are described as  
20 follows:

21           Beginning at the intersection of West Avenue and Shields Avenue; thence east on  
22 Shields Avenue to First Avenue; thence north on First Avenue to Ashlan Avenue; thence  
23 east on Ashlan Avenue to Minnewawa Avenue; thence south on Minnewawa Avenue  
24 extended approximately ½ mile to Shields Avenue; thence east on Shields Avenue to  
25 Clovis Avenue; thence south on Clovis Avenue to Olive Avenue; thence west on Olive  
26 Avenue to Peach Avenue; thence south on Peach Avenue to Jensen Avenue; thence  
27 west on Jensen Avenue to Chestnut Avenue; thence south on Chestnut Avenue to  
28 American Avenue; thence west on American Avenue to State Route 41; thence northerly

1 along State Route 41 to State Route 99; thence north westerly along State Route 99 to  
2 the intersection of Marks extended; thence North along Marks extended to Weber  
3 Avenue; thence south easterly along Weber Avenue to Shields Avenue, the point of  
4 beginning.

5 2.72.050 Supervisorial District 4.

6 There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be  
7 known and designated as Supervisorial District 4, the boundaries of which are  
8 described as follows:

9 Beginning at the intersection of Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County  
10 line; thence north along Hills Valley Road to Jensen Avenue extended; thence west  
11 on Jensen Avenue to Alta Avenue; thence north along Alta Avenue to the  
12 intersection of the Kern Canal; thence northeasterly along the Kern Canal to the  
13 intersection of Englehart Avenue; thence south along Englehart Avenue and its  
14 extension to a point that would intersect with the Annadale Avenue Alignment;  
15 thence west along Annadale Avenue extended; thence west on Annadale Avenue  
16 extended to MacDonough Avenue; thence southwesterly along Annadale Avenue  
17 (one half mile north of its normal location); thence west, southwest and west along  
18 Annadale Avenue to Madsen Avenue; thence north on Madsen Avenue to Buck  
19 Way (City of Sanger) thence west on Buck Way to Newmark Avenue; thence North  
20 on Newmark Avenue to Rainbow Road; thence northeasterly on Rainbow Road;  
21 approximately one fourth mile to the north line extended of the P.G. & E. substation  
22 property; thence west on the north line extended and the north line of said  
23 substation property to Quality Avenue extended; thence north on Quality Avenue to  
24 California Avenue; thence west on California Avenue to Temperance Avenue;  
25 thence south on Temperance Avenue to Jensen Avenue; thence west on Jensen  
26 Avenue to Chestnut Avenue; thence south on Chestnut Avenue to South Avenue;  
27 thence west on South Avenue to McMullin Grade; thence southwesterly on  
28 McMullin Grade to its intersection with the Fresno Slough Bypass; thence

1 southeasterly along the Fresno Slough Bypass to its intersection with Elkhorn  
2 Grade; thence southwesterly on Elkhorn Grade to Cerini Avenue; thence west on  
3 Cerini Avenue to Howard Avenue; thence south on Howard Avenue to Mt. Whitney  
4 Avenue; thence west on Mt. Whitney Avenue to Stanislaus Avenue; thence north  
5 on Stanislaus Avenue to Harlan Avenue; thence west on Harlan Avenue to Derrick  
6 Avenue; thence south approximately three miles along Derrick Avenue and its  
7 extension to the Township line between Township 17 and 18 South; thence west  
8 along said Township to the Fresno-San Benito County line; thence southerly,  
9 easterly and northerly in a counterclockwise direction along the Fresno County line  
10 to the intersection with Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County line, the point of  
11 beginning.

12 2.72.060 Supervisorial District 5.

13 There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be  
14 known and designated as Supervisorial District 5, the boundaries of which are  
15 described as follows:

16 Beginning at the intersection of Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County line;  
17 thence north along Hills Valley Road to Jensen Avenue extended; thence west on  
18 Jensen Avenue to Alta Avenue; thence north along Alta Avenue to the intersection of  
19 the Kern Canal; thence northeasterly along the Kern Canal to the intersection of  
20 Englehart Avenue; thence south along Englehart Avenue and its extension to a point  
21 that would intersect with the Annadale Avenue Alignment; thence west along  
22 Annadale Avenue extended; thence west on Annadale Avenue extended to  
23 MacDonough Avenue; thence southwesterly along Annadale Avenue (one half mile  
24 north of its normal location); thence west, southwest and west along Annadale  
25 Avenue to Madsen Avenue; thence north on Madsen Avenue to Buck Way (City of  
26 Sanger) thence west on Buck Way to Newmark Avenue; thence North on Newmark  
27 Avenue to Rainbow Road; thence northeasterly on Rainbow Road; approximately  
28 one fourth mile to the north line extended of the P.G. & E. substation property; thence



1 west on the north line extended and the north line of said substation property to  
2 Quality Avenue extended; thence north on Quality Avenue to California Avenue;  
3 thence west on California Avenue to Temperance Avenue; thence south on  
4 Temperance Avenue to Jensen Avenue; thence west on Jensen Avenue to Peach  
5 Avenue; thence north on Peach Avenue to Olive Avenue; thence east on Olive  
6 Avenue to Clovis Avenue; thence north on Clovis Avenue to Shields Avenue; thence  
7 west on Shields Avenue to Minnewawa extended; thence on Minnewawa extended to  
8 Minnewawa Avenue; thence north along Minnewawa Avenue to Ashlan Avenue;  
9 thence west on Ashlan Avenue to First Avenue; thence north on First Avenue to Shaw  
10 Avenue; thence east on Shaw Avenue to State Route 168; thence northeasterly on  
11 State Route 168 to Herndon Avenue; thence west on Herndon Avenue to Villa  
12 Avenue; thence northerly on Villa to Minnewawa Avenue; thence north along  
13 Minnewawa Avenue to Behymer Avenue; thence west on Behymer Avenue to Willow  
14 Avenue; thence northerly along Willow Avenue to Friant Road; thence northerly on  
15 Friant Road to its intersection with Little Dry Creek; thence westerly along Little Dry  
16 Creek to the San Joaquin River being the Fresno-Madera County line; thence  
17 northerly, easterly and southerly in a clockwise direction along the county line to the  
18 intersection of Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County line, the point of beginning.

19 2.72.70 Interpretation of boundary descriptions.

20 All references to streets, ways, avenues, roads, state routes or alignments and  
21 projections thereof, railroads, and watercourses, contained in the foregoing boundary  
22 descriptions refer to the centerlines thereof.

23 Section 2: This ordinance shall take effect and be in force and effect thirty (30)  
24 days from and after its passage.

25 THE FOREGOING was passed and adopted by the following vote of the Board of  
26 Supervisors of the County of Fresno this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011, to-wit:

27 AYES:  
28 NOES:  
ABSENT:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CHAIRMAN, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

BERNICE SEIDEL, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy

File \_\_\_\_\_  
Agenda Item No. \_\_\_\_

Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_\_

*See Table 1 on following page*

*See Table 2 on following page*

TABLE 1  
PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE  
EQUALITY MEASURES

A SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT	B DISTRICT POPULATION	C PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION	D DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DISTRICT POPULATION SHARE AND 20%	E ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DISTRICT POPULATION SHARE AND 20%	F NUMERICAL DEVIATION FROM EQUALITY	G ABSOLUTE NUMERICAL DEVIATION FROM EQUALITY	H MAXIMUM DEVIATION FROM EQUALITY		I DISTRICT DEVIATION
							(High + Low)		
					<i>Ideal Population</i>				
					186,090.0				
DISTRICT 1	186,689	20.1%	0.1%	0.1%	579.0	579.0			0.3%
DISTRICT 2	187,313	20.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1,223.0	1,223.0	0.7%		0.7%
DISTRICT 3	185,536	19.9%	-0.1%	0.1%	-554.0	554.0			0.3%
DISTRICT 4	185,758	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-332.0	332.0			0.2%
DISTRICT 5	185,174	19.9%	-0.1%	0.1%	-916.0	916.0	0.5%		0.5%
TOTALS	930,450	100.0%							1.2%

Column A: Supervisorial District

Column B: 2000 Census Population

Column C: District population as a percent of total County population

Column D: Difference between Column C and 20 percent or 1/5th of the County population

Column E: Absolute difference, plus or minus, between Column C and 20 percent or 1/5th of the County population

Column F: Numerical difference, plus or minus, between District population and the ideal District population of 186,090 (20 percent or 1/5th of the County population)

Column G: Absolute numerical difference between District population and the ideal District population of 186,090 (20 percent or 1/5th of the County population)

Column H: From Column G, the largest difference above 186,090 (1,223) as a percent of 186,090 and the largest absolute difference below 186,090 (916) as a percent of 186,090.

The Maximum Deviation From Equality is the sum of the largest difference above 186,090 (1,223) and largest absolute difference below 186,090 (916) as a percent of 186,090.

The Maximum Deviation From Equality with respect to total population is 1.1%

Column I: Column G as a percent of 186,090

TABLE 2  
PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE  
2010 CENSUS POPULATION BY RACE

Preferred Alternative

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT	TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE								
	HISPANIC OR LATINO	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN	PACIFIC ISLANDER	SOME OTHER RACE	TWO OR MORE RACES	DISTRICT POPULATION
DISTRICT 1	110,900	38,063	16,019	1,038	16,941	189	333	3,186	186,669
DISTRICT 2	51,948	101,850	9,000	1,030	17,897	282	397	4,909	187,313
DISTRICT 3	116,400	31,189	11,305	1,287	22,246	178	296	2,635	185,536
DISTRICT 4	134,965	38,496	2,684	777	6,674	99	353	1,710	185,758
DISTRICT 5	53,857	94,924	5,997	1,847	23,098	318	365	4,768	185,174
See Glossary for Census definition of racial categories									
Fresno County	468,070	304,522	45,005	5,979	86,856	1,066	1,744	17,208	930,450

Preferred Alternative

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE								
	HISPANIC OR LATINO	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN	PACIFIC ISLANDER	SOME OTHER RACE	TWO OR MORE RACES	DISTRICT POPULATION
District 1	59.4%	20.4%	8.6%	0.6%	9.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.7%	100.0%
District 2	27.7%	54.4%	4.8%	0.6%	9.6%	0.2%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%
District 3	62.7%	16.8%	6.1%	0.7%	12.0%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%	100.0%
District 4	72.7%	20.7%	1.4%	0.4%	3.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.9%	100.0%
District 5	29.1%	51.3%	3.2%	1.0%	12.5%	0.2%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%
See Glossary for Census definition of racial categories									
Fresno County	PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY POPULATION BY RACE								
	50.3%	32.7%	4.8%	0.6%	9.3%	0.1%	0.2%	1.8%	100.0%

This Table shows that for the County as a whole, there is a Hispanic or Latino population majority (50.3%). Three Supervisorial Districts have a Hispanic or Latino population majority. These districts are: District 4 (72.7%), District 3 (62.7%), and District 1 (59.4%). District 1 has the largest Black or African American population of 16,019. District 5 has the largest Asian population of 23,098.

See the Glossary for a description of the racial categories used in the 2010 Census.

1                                   BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
2                                   COUNTY OF FRESNO  
3                                   STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
                                  ORDINANCE NO. 11-012

4 AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY  
5 ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND  
6 NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF  
7 THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.

8           WHEREAS, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors has, at public hearings,  
9 considered both oral and documentary evidence both including the Supervisorial District  
10 Population Study and supporting documentation, provided by County Staff, by the efforts  
11 of a Redistricting Task Force, and by the testimony of interested persons who expressed  
12 their views and had the opportunity to submit documentary evidence prior to the adoption  
13 of this ordinance; and

14           WHEREAS, in considering the foregoing oral and documentary evidence, the  
15 Board of Supervisors has taken into account the voting rights protected under the United  
16 States Constitution and Section 1973 of the United States Code, as amended, more  
17 commonly referred to as the "Voting Rights Act", and has given consideration to the  
18 following factors: (a) topography; (b) geography; (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity,  
19 and compactness of territory; and (d) community of interests of the supervisorial districts;  
20 and

21           WHEREAS, the United States Secretary of Commerce has officially ruled that the  
22 2010 Census of Population and Housing provided to the County of Fresno by the United  
23 States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, which forms the basis of the  
24 Supervisorial District Population Study and supporting documentation submitted by  
25 County Staff, shall be final; and

1           WHEREAS, based on the foregoing circumstances, the Fresno County Board of  
2 Supervisors, in consideration of all of the oral and documentary evidence presented,  
3 finds that:

4           (a) The supervisorial districts are currently unequal in population and  
5           must be adjusted to meet the requirements established by the United  
6           States Constitution, statutory law, and judicial interpretations thereof;  
7           and

8           (b) The district boundaries must be adjusted to ensure they do not  
9           fragment or dilute the voting strength of persons protected under the  
10          United States Constitution or the Voting Rights Act, or impair their  
11          ability to gain representation on the Fresno County Board of  
12          Supervisors; and

13          WHEREAS, the passage of this ordinance shall be considered an amendment of  
14 Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Ordinance Code of the County of Fresno.

15          The Board of Supervisors of the County of Fresno ordains as follows:

16          Section 1: Chapter 2.72 of Title 2 of the Ordinance Code of the County of Fresno  
17 is hereby amended, to read in its entirety as follows:

18   Chapter 2.72.

19   SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS

20          Sections:

- 21          2.72.010           Establishment.
- 22          2.72.020           Supervisorial District 1.
- 23          2.72.030           Supervisorial District 2.
- 24          2.72.040           Supervisorial District 3.
- 25          2.72.050           Supervisorial District 4.
- 26          2.72.060           Supervisorial District 5.
- 27          2.72.070           Interpretation of boundary descriptions.

28

1           2.72.010 Establishment. Pursuant to Chapter 6 of Division 21 of the California  
2 Elections Code and the Charter of the County of Fresno, the boundaries and numerical  
3 designations of the supervisorial districts for the County of Fresno are established as set  
4 forth in this chapter.

5           2.72.020 Supervisorial District 1.

6           There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be known  
7 and designated as Supervisorial District 1, the boundaries of which are described as  
8 follows:

9           Beginning at the intersection of the Fresno-Madera County line and the main line  
10 of the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad; thence southeasterly along said railroad  
11 to the intersection thereof with West Avenue; thence south on West Avenue to the  
12 intersection of Shields Avenue; thence west along said Shields Ave to Weber Avenue;  
13 thence northwesterly on Weber Avenue to the intersection of Marks Avenue; thence  
14 southerly on Marks Avenue extended to Freeway 99; thence easterly along State Route  
15 99 to State Route 41; thence southerly on State Route 41 to American Avenue; thence  
16 east on American Avenue to Chestnut Avenue; thence south on Chestnut Avenue to the  
17 intersection of South Avenue; thence west on South Avenue to the intersection of  
18 McMullin Grade; thence southwesterly on the McMullin Grade to its intersection with the  
19 Fresno Slough Bypass; thence southeasterly along the Fresno Slough Bypass to its  
20 intersection with Elkhorn Grade; thence southwesterly on Elkhorn Grade to Cerini  
21 Avenue; thence west on Cerini Avenue to Howard Avenue; thence south on Howard  
22 Avenue to Mt. Whitney Avenue; thence west on Mt. Whitney Avenue to Stanislaus  
23 Avenue; thence north on Stanislaus Avenue to Harlan Avenue; thence west on Harlan  
24 Avenue to Derrick Avenue; thence south approximately 3 miles along Derrick Avenue  
25 and its extension to the Township line between Township 17 and 18 South; thence west  
26 along said Township line to the Fresno-San Benito County line; thence northerly and  
27 easterly along the Fresno County boundary line to its intersection with the main Line of  
28 the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad, the point of beginning.

1           2.72.030 Supervisorial District 2.

2           There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be known  
3 and designated as Supervisorial District 2, the boundaries of which are described as  
4 follows:

5           Beginning at the intersection of Fresno-Madera County line and the main line of  
6 the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad; thence southeasterly along said railroad to  
7 West Avenue; thence south on West Avenue to Shields Avenue; thence east on Shields  
8 Avenue to First Street; thence north on First Street to Shaw Avenue; thence east on  
9 Shaw Avenue to State Route 168; thence northeasterly on State Route 168 to Herndon  
10 Avenue; thence west on Herndon Avenue to Villa Avenue; thence northerly along Villa  
11 Avenue to Minnewawa; thence north along Minnewawa Avenue to Behymer Avenue;  
12 thence west on Behymer Avenue to Willow Avenue; thence northerly on Willow Avenue  
13 to Friant Road; thence northerly on Friant Road to its intersection with Little Dry Creek;  
14 thence westerly along Little Dry Creek to the San Joaquin River, being the Fresno-  
15 Madera County line; thence westerly along said county line to the main line of the  
16 Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad, to the point of beginning.

17           2.72.040 Supervisorial District 3.

18           There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be known  
19 and designated as Supervisorial District 3, the boundaries of which are described as  
20 follows:

21           Beginning at the intersection of West Avenue and Shields Avenue; thence east on  
22 Shields Avenue to First Avenue; thence north on First Avenue to Ashlan Avenue; thence  
23 east on Ashlan Avenue to Minnewawa Avenue; thence south on Minnewawa Avenue  
24 extended approximately ½ mile to Shields Avenue; thence east on Shields Avenue to  
25 Clovis Avenue; thence south on Clovis Avenue to Olive Avenue; thence west on Olive  
26 Avenue to Peach Avenue; thence south on Peach Avenue to Jensen Avenue; thence  
27 west on Jensen Avenue to Chestnut Avenue; thence south on Chestnut Avenue to  
28 American Avenue; thence west on American Avenue to State Route 41; thence northerly



1 along State Route 41 to State Route 99; thence north westerly along State Route 99 to  
2 the intersection of Marks extended; thence North along Marks extended to Weber  
3 Avenue; thence south easterly along Weber Avenue to Shields Avenue, the point of  
4 beginning.

5 2.72.050 Supervisorial District 4.

6 There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be  
7 known and designated as Supervisorial District 4, the boundaries of which are  
8 described as follows:

9 Beginning at the intersection of Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County  
10 line; thence north along Hills Valley Road to Jensen Avenue extended; thence west  
11 on Jensen Avenue to Alta Avenue; thence north along Alta Avenue to the  
12 intersection of the Kern Canal; thence northeasterly along the Kern Canal to the  
13 intersection of Englehart Avenue; thence south along Englehart Avenue and its  
14 extension to a point that would intersect with the Annadale Avenue Alignment;  
15 thence west along Annadale Avenue extended; thence west on Annadale Avenue  
16 extended to MacDonough Avenue; thence southwesterly along Annadale Avenue  
17 (one half mile north of its normal location); thence west, southwest and west along  
18 Annadale Avenue to Madsen Avenue; thence north on Madsen Avenue to Buck  
19 Way (City of Sanger) thence west on Buck Way to Newmark Avenue; thence North  
20 on Newmark Avenue to Rainbow Road; thence northeasterly on Rainbow Road;  
21 approximately one fourth mile to the north line extended of the P.G. & E. substation  
22 property; thence west on the north line extended and the north line of said  
23 substation property to Quality Avenue extended; thence north on Quality Avenue to  
24 California Avenue; thence west on California Avenue to Temperance Avenue;  
25 thence south on Temperance Avenue to Jensen Avenue; thence west on Jensen  
26 Avenue to Chestnut Avenue; thence south on Chestnut Avenue to South Avenue;  
27 thence west on South Avenue to McMullin Grade; thence southwesterly on  
28 McMullin Grade to its intersection with the Fresno Slough Bypass; thence

1 southeasterly along the Fresno Slough Bypass to its intersection with Elkhorn  
2 Grade; thence southwesterly on Elkhorn Grade to Cerini Avenue; thence west on  
3 Cerini Avenue to Howard Avenue; thence south on Howard Avenue to Mt. Whitney  
4 Avenue; thence west on Mt. Whitney Avenue to Stanislaus Avenue; thence north  
5 on Stanislaus Avenue to Harlan Avenue; thence west on Harlan Avenue to Derrick  
6 Avenue; thence south approximately three miles along Derrick Avenue and its  
7 extension to the Township line between Township 17 and 18 South; thence west  
8 along said Township to the Fresno-San Benito County line; thence southerly,  
9 easterly and northerly in a counterclockwise direction along the Fresno County line  
10 to the intersection with Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County line, the point of  
11 beginning.

12 2.72.060 Supervisorial District 5.

13 There is established a supervisorial district for the County of Fresno to be  
14 known and designated as Supervisorial District 5, the boundaries of which are  
15 described as follows: .

16 Beginning at the intersection of Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County line;  
17 thence north along Hills Valley Road to Jensen Avenue extended; thence west on  
18 Jensen Avenue to Alta Avenue; thence north along Alta Avenue to the intersection of  
19 the Kern Canal; thence northeasterly along the Kern Canal to the intersection of  
20 Englehart Avenue; thence south along Englehart Avenue and its extension to a point  
21 that would intersect with the Annadale Avenue Alignment; thence west along  
22 Annadale Avenue extended; thence west on Annadale Avenue extended to  
23 MacDonough Avenue; thence southwesterly along Annadale Avenue (one half mile  
24 north of its normal location); thence west, southwest and west along Annadale  
25 Avenue to Madsen Avenue; thence north on Madsen Avenue to Buck Way (City of  
26 Sanger) thence west on Buck Way to Newmark Avenue; thence North on Newmark  
27 Avenue to Rainbow Road; thence northeasterly on Rainbow Road; approximately  
28 one fourth mile to the north line extended of the P.G. & E. substation property; thence

1 west on the north line extended and the north line of said substation property to  
2 Quality Avenue extended; thence north on Quality Avenue to California Avenue;  
3 thence west on California Avenue to Temperance Avenue; thence south on  
4 Temperance Avenue to Jensen Avenue; thence west on Jensen Avenue to Peach  
5 Avenue; thence north on Peach Avenue to Olive Avenue; thence east on Olive  
6 Avenue to Clovis Avenue; thence north on Clovis Avenue to Shields Avenue; thence  
7 west on Shields Avenue to Minnewawa extended; thence on Minnewawa extended to  
8 Minnewawa Avenue; thence north along Minnewawa Avenue to Ashlan Avenue;  
9 thence west on Ashlan Avenue to First Avenue; thence north on First Avenue to Shaw  
10 Avenue; thence east on Shaw Avenue to State Route 168; thence northeasterly on  
11 State Route 168 to Herndon Avenue; thence west on Herndon Avenue to Villa  
12 Avenue; thence northerly on Villa to Minnewawa Avenue; thence north along  
13 Minnewawa Avenue to Behymer Avenue; thence west on Behymer Avenue to Willow  
14 Avenue; thence northerly along Willow Avenue to Friant Road; thence northerly on  
15 Friant Road to its intersection with Little Dry Creek; thence westerly along Little Dry  
16 Creek to the San Joaquin River being the Fresno-Madera County line; thence  
17 northerly, easterly and southerly in a clockwise direction along the county line to the  
18 intersection of Hills Valley Road and the Tulare County line, the point of beginning.

19 2.72.70 Interpretation of boundary descriptions.

20 All references to streets, ways, avenues, roads, state routes or alignments and  
21 projections thereof, railroads, and watercourses, contained in the foregoing boundary  
22 descriptions refer to the centerlines thereof.

23 Section 2: This ordinance shall take effect and be in force and effect thirty (30)  
24 days from and after its passage.

25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


THE FOREGOING was passed and adopted by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Fresno this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011, to-wit:

AYES: Supervisors Poochigian, Anderson, Case, Perea, Larson  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: None



CHAIRMAN, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:  
BERNICE E. SEIDEL  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

By 

Deputy

Agenda No.: 8  
Ordinance No.: 11-012  
See Table 1 on following page  
See Table 2 on following page

TABLE 1  
PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE  
EQUALITY MEASURES

A SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT	B DISTRICT POPULATION	C PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION	D DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DISTRICT POPULATION SHARE AND 20%	E ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DISTRICT POPULATION SHARE AND 20%	F NUMERICAL DEVIATION FROM EQUALITY	G ABSOLUTE NUMERICAL DEVIATION FROM EQUALITY	H MAXIMUM DEVIATION FROM EQUALITY	
							(High + Low)	District Deviation
					<i>Ideal Population</i> 186,090.0			
DISTRICT 1	186,669	20.1%	0.1%	0.1%	579.0	579.0		0.3%
DISTRICT 2	187,313	20.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1,223.0	1,223.0	0.7%	0.7%
DISTRICT 3	185,536	19.9%	-0.1%	0.1%	-554.0	554.0		0.3%
DISTRICT 4	185,758	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-332.0	332.0		0.2%
DISTRICT 5	185,174	19.9%	-0.1%	0.1%	-916.0	916.0	0.5%	0.5%
TOTALS	930,450	100.0%						1.2%

Column A: Supervisorial District

Column B: 2000 Census Population

Column C: District population as a percent of total County population

Column D: Difference between Column C and 20 percent or 1/5th of the County population

Column E: Absolute difference, plus or minus, between Column C and 20 percent or 1/5th of the County population

Column F: Numerical difference, plus or minus, between District population and the ideal District population of 186,090 (20 percent or 1/5th of the County population)

Column G: Absolute numerical difference between District population and the ideal District population of 186,090 (20 percent or 1/5th of the County population)

Column H: From Column G, the largest difference above 186,090 (1,223) as a percent of 186,090 and the largest absolute difference below 186,090 (916) as a percent of 186,090.

The Maximum Deviation From Equality is the sum of the largest difference above 186,090 (1,223) and largest absolute difference below 186,090 (916) as a percent of 186,090.

The Maximum Deviation From Equality with respect to total population is 1.1%

Column I: Column G as a percent of 186,090

TABLE 2  
PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE  
2010 CENSUS POPULATION BY RACE

Preferred Alternative

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT	TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE								
	HISPANIC OR LATINO	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN	PACIFIC ISLANDER	SOME OTHER RACE	TWO OR MORE RACES	DISTRICT POPULATION
DISTRICT 1	110,900	38,063	16,019	1,038	16,941	189	333	3,186	186,669
DISTRICT 2	51,948	101,850	9,000	1,030	17,897	282	397	4,909	187,313
DISTRICT 3	116,400	31,189	11,305	1,287	22,246	178	296	2,635	185,536
DISTRICT 4	134,965	38,496	2,684	777	6,674	99	353	1,710	185,758
DISTRICT 5	53,857	94,924	5,997	1,847	23,098	318	365	4,768	185,174
See Glossary for Census definition of racial categories									
Fresno County	488,070	304,522	45,005	5,979	86,856	1,066	1,744	17,208	930,450

Preferred Alternative


SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT	PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE								
	HISPANIC OR LATINO	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	AMERICAN INDIAN	ASIAN	PACIFIC ISLANDER	SOME OTHER RACE	TWO OR MORE RACES	DISTRICT POPULATION
District 1	59.4%	20.4%	8.6%	0.6%	9.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.7%	100.0%
District 2	27.7%	54.4%	4.8%	0.6%	9.6%	0.2%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%
District 3	62.7%	16.8%	6.1%	0.7%	12.0%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%	100.0%
District 4	72.7%	20.7%	1.4%	0.4%	3.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.9%	100.0%
District 5	29.1%	51.3%	3.2%	1.0%	12.5%	0.2%	0.2%	2.6%	100.0%
See Glossary for Census definition of racial categories									
Fresno County	50.3%	32.7%	4.8%	0.6%	9.3%	0.1%	0.2%	1.8%	100.0%

This Table shows that for the County as a whole, there is a Hispanic or Latino population majority (50.3%). Three Supervisorial Districts have a Hispanic or Latino population majority. These districts are: District 4 (72.7%), District 3 (62.7%), and District 1 (59.4%). District 1 has the largest Black or African American population of 16,019. District 5 has the largest Asian population of 23,098.

See the Glossary for a description of the racial categories used in the 2010 Census.

## CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY OF DOCUMENT

I am employed by the County of Fresno as a Deputy Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. On September 20, 2011 I delivered a copy of Ordinance No. 11-012 (Item No. 12) to the Chairman of the Fresno County Board of Supervisors.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sherrie Evans", written over a horizontal line.

Sherrie Evans  
Deputy Clerk

# THE BUSINESS JOURNAL

FRESNO | KINGS | MADERA | TULARE

P.O. Box 126  
Fresno, CA 93707  
Telephone (559) 490-3400

(Space Below for use of County Clerk only)

## IN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

MISC. NOTICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF FRESNO


I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of **THE BUSINESS JOURNAL** published in the city of Fresno, County of Fresno, State of California, Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of March 4, 1911, in Action No.14315; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at Fresno, California,

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

ON



DATE OF HEARINGS:  
September 13, 2011  
and September 20, 2011

### DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance  
(Summary)

Notice is hereby given that at its regularly scheduled meeting on September 13, 2011 and September 20, 2011, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors will consider adopting AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.

The full text of this Ordinance will be available online at the Board of Supervisors webpage, <http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/DepartmentPage.aspx?id=18369>, under the September 13, 2011, meeting, and the Agenda and Supporting Documents links.  
Bernice E. Seidel, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
09/16/2011



# THE BUSINESS JOURNAL

FRESNO | KINGS | MADIRA | TULARE

P.O. Box 126  
Fresno, CA 93707  
Telephone (559) 490-3400

(Space Below for use of County Clerk only)

## IN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

MISC. NOTICE

DATE OF HEARINGS:  
September 13, 2011  
and September 20, 2011

### DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance  
(Summary)

Notice is hereby given that at its regularly scheduled meeting on September 13, 2011 and September 20, 2011, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors will consider adopting AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.

The full text of this Ordinance will be available online at the Board of Supervisors webpage, <http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/DepartmentPage.aspx?id=18369>, under the September 13, 2011, meeting, and the Agenda and Supporting Documents links.  
Bernice E. Seidel, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
09/16/2011

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF FRESNO


I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of **THE BUSINESS JOURNAL** published in the city of Fresno, County of Fresno, State of California, Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of March 4, 1911, in Action No.14315; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at Fresno, California,

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

ON



# THE BUSINESS JOURNAL

FRESNO | KINGS | MADERA | TULARE

P.O. Box 126  
Fresno, CA 93707  
Telephone (559) 490-3400

(Space Below for use of County Clerk only)

## IN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

MISC. NOTICE

DATE OF HEARINGS:  
September 13, 2011  
and September 20, 2011

### DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance  
(Summary)

Notice is hereby given that at its regularly scheduled meeting on September 13, 2011 and September 20, 2011, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors will consider adopting AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.

The full text of this Ordinance will be available online at the Board of Supervisors webpage, <http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/DepartmentPage.aspx?id=18362>, under the September 13, 2011 meeting, and the Agenda and Supporting Documents links.

Bernice E. Seidel, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
09/16/2011

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF FRESNO


I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of **THE BUSINESS JOURNAL** published in the city of Fresno, County of Fresno, State of California, Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of March 4, 1911, in Action No.14315; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at Fresno, California,

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

ON



# THE BUSINESS JOURNAL

FRESNO | KINGS | MADERA | TULARE

P.O. Box 126  
Fresno, CA 93707  
Telephone (559) 490-3400

(Space Below for use of County Clerk only)

## IN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO

MISC. NOTICE

DATE OF HEARINGS:  
September 13, 2011  
and September 20, 2011

### DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (2015.5 C.C.P.)

Notice of Public Hearing  
Proposed Ordinance  
(Summary)

Notice is hereby given that at its regularly scheduled meeting on September 13, 2011 and September 20, 2011, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors will consider adopting AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2.72 OF TITLE 2 OF THE FRESNO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE: SAID CHAPTER ESTABLISHES THE BOUNDARIES AND NUMERICAL DESIGNATIONS FOR SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.

The full text of this Ordinance will be available online at the Board of Supervisors webpage, <http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/DepartmentPage.aspx?id=18362>, under the September 13, 2011 meeting, and the Agenda and Supporting Documents links.  
Bernice E. Seidel, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
09/16/2011

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF FRESNO

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of **THE BUSINESS JOURNAL** published in the city of Fresno, County of Fresno, State of California, Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of March 4, 1911, in Action No.14315; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at Fresno, California,

SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

ON

