



# County of Fresno

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

MELISSA CREGAN

AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER/  
SEALER OF WEIGHTS & MEASURES

## Alternatives Considered – Restricted Materials Permit (RMP)

Title 3 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), section 6426 requires a permit applicant (grower) and their pest control adviser to consider feasible alternatives to the use of restricted materials before applying for a restricted material permit with the county agricultural commissioner (CAC). This section provides:

**6426 (a)** Each licensed agricultural pest control adviser and grower, when determining if and when to use a pesticide that requires a permit, shall consider, and if feasible, adopt any reasonable, effective and practical mitigation measure or use any feasible alternative which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact on the environment.

This information is necessary for your Restricted Materials Permit (RMP) application to be deemed complete. The department will not process incomplete permit applications. **For each restricted material requested, list the feasible alternatives that you considered or used before applying for this permit.** Question 1 addresses the non-chemical alternatives you use, and question 2 addresses the reduced risk pesticides you have tried.

RMP NAME AND NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

ACTIVE INGREDIENT OF PESTICIDE REQUESTING: \_\_\_\_\_

TARGET PEST (e.g., insects, nematodes, rodents, weeds, viruses, storage pests, or defoliation): \_\_\_\_\_

1. Indicate the non-chemical pest management practice alternatives you currently use to control the above listed pest.

Write in any additional measures in the space below:

- |   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Beneficial Insects | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation Management | <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic Mulch    | <input type="checkbox"/> Scouting/Monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Crop Rotations     | <input type="checkbox"/> Mating Disruption     | <input type="checkbox"/> Pruning          | <input type="checkbox"/> Sticky Barriers     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discing            | <input type="checkbox"/> Mowing                | <input type="checkbox"/> Removing Fruit   | <input type="checkbox"/> Thinning            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Habitat Disruption | <input type="checkbox"/> Netting               | <input type="checkbox"/> Removing Mummies | <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hand Weeding       | <input type="checkbox"/> Owl Habitats          | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanitation       |  |

Write in any additional measures here:

2. What current reduced risk chemical alternatives have you tried to use to control this pest (but have failed; or are cost prohibitive)? Some common reduced risk chemicals you may have tried are: *Glufosinate, Oxyfluorfen, Flumioxazin, Carfentrazone, Bromoxynil, Glyphosate, Pyraflufen, Carbon monoxide, Diphacinone, Bromethalin, Esfenvalerate, Gas cartridges/Sodium Nitrate, and organic pesticides, or mating disruption, plant growth regulators, and repellants.*

Do you practice Integrated Pest Management (IPM) application timing with these pesticides?  Yes  No

Attach additional pages if necessary.

PRINT YOUR NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_