

Consultant Evaluation Sheet

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Project: | |
| Consultant/Firm Name: | |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Pass / Fail Criteria | |
| Meets minimum requirements | |

Evaluation Table

| Evaluation Criteria | Rating Score (0-5) | Weight (must total 100) | Weighted Score (Rating * Weight / Maximum Rating) |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | | | |

Evaluator

Print Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Contract Office

Initials: _____

Date: _____

Criteria attached:_____

Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated according to each Evaluation Criteria and scored on a zero to five point rating. The scores for all the Evaluation Criteria will then be multiplied according to their assigned weight and divided by the maximum possible score (5) to arrive at a weighted score for each proposal. A proposal with a high weighted total will be deemed of higher quality than a proposal with a lesser-weighted total. The final maximum score for any project is one hundred (100) points.

Rating and Rating Scale

| Score | Rating | Rating Scale |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0 | Not Acceptable | Non-responsive, fails to meet RFQ specifications. The approach has no probability of success. For mandatory requirement this score will result in disqualification of proposal. |
| 1 | Poor | Below average, falls short of expectations, is substandard to that which is the average or expected norm, has a low probability of success in achieving project objectives per RFQ. |
| 2 | Fair | Has a reasonable probability of success, however, some objectives may not be met. |
| 3 | Average | Acceptable, achieves all objectives in a reasonable fashion per RFQ specification. This will be the baseline score for each item with adjustments based on interpretation of proposal by Evaluation Committee members. |
| 4 | Above Average/Good | Very good probability of success, better than that which is average or expected as the norm. Achieves all objectives per RFQ requirements and expectations. |
| | Excellent/Exceptional | Exceeds expectations, very innovative, clearly superior to that which is average or expected as the norm. Excellent probability of success and in achieving all objectives and meeting RFQ specification. |

Form based on [LAPM Exhibit 10-B Suggested Evaluation](#).

The evaluation criteria and weighted values must be identified in the RFP/RFQ. If the RFP/RFQ has different evaluation criteria or weighted values then the information above would have to be changed to match. The Contract Office is to initial and date in the space provided to verify that the criteria and weighted values used in the evaluation sheet are appropriate and that the sheet has been completed correctly.

Attach a copy of the criteria and minimum requirements from the RFP/RFQ to this evaluation sheet.

Minimum Requirements

Evaluation Criteria Description