PROGRAM INFORMATION	:		
Program Title:	Senate Bill 163 Wraparound and	Provider:	Uplift Family Services (formerly EMQ
	Therapeutic Foster Care Services		FamiliesFirst)
Program Description:	Wraparound services, including mental health support services, and Therapeutic Foster Care services to up to 150 children and their families who have a serious mental illness or serious emotional disturbance, and are either at imminent risk of out-of-home placement or are returning from an out-of-home placement. The program philosophy includes developing individualized service plans for each youth and family in order to wrap services around the family which build upon their unique strengths and needs. Traditional and non-traditional support services are provided to participating youth and families with the ultimate goal of stabilizing each youth so that s/he can be successful at home, in school and in his/her community.		
		MHP Work Plan:	2-Wellness, recovery, and resiliency support
Age Group Served 1:	CHILDREN	Dates Of Operation:	June 2004 to present
Age Group Served 2:	TAY	Reporting Period:	July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016
Funding Source 1:	Medical FFP	Funding Source 3:	Other, please specify below
Funding Source 2:	EPSDT	Other Funding:	County Senate Bill 163 Trust Fund

FISCAL INFORMATION:				
Program Budget Amount:	\$4,500,000		Program Actual Amount:	\$4,500,000
Number of Unique Clients Se	erved During Time Period:	195		
Number of Services Rendere	d During Time Period:	13,276		
Actual Cost Per Client:	\$23,076.92			

CONTRACT INFORMAT	ION:			
Program Type:	Contract-Operat	ed	Type of Program:	WRAP
Contract Term:		/30/2018 (07/01/2015 – two optional twelve	For Other:	Click here to enter text.
			Renewal Date:	07/01/2018
Level of Care Information Age 18 & Over: High Inte		High Intensity Treat	ment/FSP (caseload	1:12)
Level of Care Informat	ion Age 0- 17:	Intensive Outpatien	t (TBS, Wrap)	

TARGET POPULATION INFO	DRMATION:		
Target Population:	Children and Youth (ages 5-21) who are either adjudicated as either a dependent or ward of the juvenile court and would be placed in a Department of Social Services (DSS) licensed group home at a rate classification level (RCL) of 10 or higher; adjudicated as either a dependant or ward and who has experienced three ore more placement moves or psychiatric hospitalizations within the past twenty-four months; in an adoptive placement or has a finalized adoption and qualifies for Adoption Assistance Program benefits and has an urgent and/or intensive mental health need which causes empairment at school, home, and/or in the community; and/or is at imminent risk of placement in a RCL 10 or above, or currently placed in a RCL 10 or above is within sixty days of returning to the community.		
MHSA CORE CONCEPTS:			
Please select MHSA core co (May select more than one)	oncepts embedded in services/ program:	Please describe how the selected concept (s) embedded :	
Choose an item.		Click here to enter text.	
Choose an item.			
Choose an item.			
Choose an item.			

2016-10-05

PROGRAM OUTCOME GOALS:

Cost Effectiveness, Improved Family Functioning, Improved Parent Functioning, Improved Child Functioning, Placement Stability, Satisfaction, Juvenile Justice Involvement, Goal Attainment, Family Search and Engagement Outcomes, Fidelity Outcomes

PROGRAM OUTCOME DATA/INDICATORS: Table 7

Goals/Objectives	Performance Measure	FY16
Cost Effectiveness	1.1) 70% of productivity for Medi-Cal billing. (Source: Finance Department; per contract baseline)	86%
Improved Family Functioning	2.1) 50% of youth will improve in individual and family functioning status. (Source: CANS LDF Family)	58% (n=31/53)
Improved Parent	3.1) 50% of caregivers will improve ability to provide daily care of youth. (Source: CANS CGSN domain; Improvement is defined as caregiver improving at least 60% of CGSN actionable items to non-actionable)	56% (n=23/41)
Functioning	3.2) 50% of caregivers will improve development of natural support system. (Source: CANS CGSN Social Resources)	76% (n=19/25)
	4.1) 50% of youth will improve Interpersonal skills. (Source: CANS CS Interpersonal)	67% (n=22/60)
	4.2) 50% of youth will improve emotional and behavioral status. (Source: CANS CBEN domain; Improvement is defined as youth improving at least 60% of CBEN actionable items to non-actionable)	54% (n=39/72)
	4.3) 50% of youth will improve clinical condition and quality of life. (Source: CANS Total; Improvement is defined as youth improving at least 60% of Total CANS actionable items to non-actionable)	37% (n=30/81)
Improved Youth Functioning	 4.4) 70% of youth will improve school attendance or maintain at a minimum attendance average of 3 out of 5 school days. (Source: CEDE Average Number of School Days; Improvement is defined by increase in attendance from less than 3 days per week, at Time 1, to 3+ days at Time 2. Maintenance is defined as youth attending school 3+ days at Time 1 and maintaining school attendance at Time 2.) 	96% (n=27/28)
	4.5) 80% of youth will improve Academic Performance. (Source: CANS LDF School Achievement)	36% (n=14/39)
	4.6) 80% of youth will decrease (or maintain at 0) number of expulsions/suspensions during the last 3 months services. (Source: CEDE Expulsion and Suspension)	91% (n=29/32)
	5.1) 80% of youth In-Home at Time 1, will remain In-Home or move to a less restrictive setting (not including less restrictive GH setting). (Source: CEDE Predominant Living Situation)	78% (n=29/37)
Placement Stability	5.2) 75% of youth Out-of-Home at Time 1, will improve to In-Home or move to a less restrictive setting (not including less restrictive GH setting). (Source: CEDE Predominant Living Situation)	82% (n=14/17)
Placement Stability	5.3) 75% of youth will improve to in-home setting post-discharge. (Source: Wrap 6-Months Post-Discharge Predominant Living Situation; Baseline Source: CEDE at Discharge).	0% (n=0/2)

	5.4) 70% of youth will maintain in-home setting post-discharge. (Source: Wrap 6-Months Post-Discharge Predominant Living Situation; Baseline Source: CEDE at Discharge).	100% (n=19/19)
	5.5) 50% of caregivers will improve ability to manage youth's behaviors. (Source: CANS CGSN Supervision)	56% (n=5/9)
Satisfaction	6.1) 80% of youth and families will be satisfied with Wraparound Services. (Source: YSS, YSS-F, AS; % Satisfied= Mean score of 4.0 or higher on Total Satisfaction)	YSS-F: 78% (n=53/68) YSS: 65% (n=13/20)
Juvenile Justice Involvement	7.1) 80% of youth with no probation violations at Time 1 will maintain at 0 their number of probation violations during the last 3 months of program participation compared to the prior 3 month period. (Source: CEDE Probation Violations)	95% (n=35/37)
Goal Attainment	8.1) 40% of youth will have positive goal attainment outcomes. (Source: TIER Reason for Discharge: Goal Achievement, Attained Dependency, and Reunification; per program baseline. Exclude LOS <60 Days)	63% (n=57/90)
Family Search and Engagement Outcomes	9.1) 50% of youth participating in FSE services will improve stability of significant relationships in his/her life.* (Source: CANS CS Relationship Permanence, per FSE Committee baseline)	100% (n=2/2)
Fidelity Outcomes	10.1) 75% youth and families will achieve a combined total fidelity score of at least 75% (adequate fidelity). (Source: WFI-4 and WFI-EZ Overall Fidelity)	81% (n=18/23)

Notes: Outcomes/Goals based on FY16 program logic model; (2) The CANS series (CANS 0-4, CANS 5+) are scored on a 4-point Likert scale (0, 1, 2, 3) and are assessed based on Actionable (2, 3) versus Non-Actionable (0, 1) ratings. The former denotes a problem with varying levels of severity, whereas, the latter denotes either no problem or a history of a problem. Improvement is described by moving from an actionable rating to a non-actionable rating from admit to discharge, maintenance is described by maintaining a non-actionable rating from admit to discharge.

APPENDIX: CANS OUTCOMES

|--|

CANS 5+: Mean Number of Actionable Items by Total and Domain						
	(n=82)					
CANS Domains	CANS Domains Time 1 Time 2 Stat Sig.					
LDF	3.82	2.75	.01			
CS	6.83	5.17	.001			
ACCU	.11	.05	n.s.			
CGSN	1.06	.84	n.s.			
CBEN	3.24	1.88	.001			
CRB	.57	1.10	.05			
Total CANS 15.63 11.26 .001						

Source: CANS 5+ (07/25/16). Notes: (1) n=number of youth discharged in FY16 with paired CANS data; (2) LOS of discharged youth is 60+ days; (3) Actionable items are those with a rating of 2 or 3. (4) Paired data: Time 1= Admit, Time 2=Discharge or timeframe closest to discharge; (5) Paired Sample T-Test; (6) n.s.= not statistically significant.

Table 3

CANS 5+: Number of Actionable Items by Total and Domain (n=82)					
CANS Domains	Number Actionable Items	Number Improved on Actionable Items	% Improved		
LDF	309	157	51%		
CS	552	193	35%		
ACCU	9	5	56%		
CGSN	68	45	66%		
CBEN	266	147	55%		
CRB	47	23	49%		
Total CANS	1251	570	46%		

Source: CANS 5+ (07/25/16). Notes: (1) n=number of youth discharged in FY16 with paired CANS data; (2) LOS of discharged youth is 60+ days; (3) Actionable items are those with a rating of 2 or 3. (4) Paired data: Time 1= Admit, Time 2=Discharge or timeframe closest to discharge; (5) Improvement is defined as an actionable rating (2,3) at Time 1 to non-actionable rating (0,1) at Time 2.

Table 4					
CANS 5+: Percent of Youth Improving on 60% of Actionable Items					
CANS Domains	Number of Youth with Paired Data	Number of Youth Improved on 60% of Actionable Items	% Improved		
LDF	80	35	44%		
CS	80	22	28%		
ACCU	8	4	50%		
CGSN	41	23	56%		
CBEN	71	38	54%		
CRB	21	10	48%		
Total CANS	80	29	36%		

Source: CANS 5+ (07/25/16). Notes: (1) n=number of youth discharged in FY16 with paired CANS data; (2) LOS of discharged youth is 60+ days; (3) Actionable items are those with a rating of 2 or 3. (4) Paired data: Time 1= Admit, Time 2=Discharge or timeframe closest to discharge; (5) Improvement is defined as an actionable rating (2,3) at Time 1 to non-actionable rating (0,1) at Time 2.

DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION(S):

Click here to enter text.